

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/614,680	07/03/2003	Markus Weber	STERN16.001AUS	7379	
20995 7	590 12/04/2006		EXAMINER		
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA		
2040 MAIN ST	TREET				
FOURTEENTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
IRVINE, CA 92614			1764		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/614,680	WEBER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Virginia Manoharan	1764	

	virginia ivianonaran	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.					
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nova Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of his application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which laces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	-						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an €	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>14-23</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>24-26</u> .							
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		1.4.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: a). The proposed amendments would provoke new 112 rejection(s). For examples:

- 1). it is unclear whether the "an acidic catalyst" in claim 7, line 2 is the same or different from the "an acidic catalyst" recited in claim 13, page 3, section iv), line 2, the claim from which it depends indirectly.
- 2). The scope of the claimed invention has changed. Claims 3, 5-8, 10-12, originally dependent on claim 1, now depends on claims 1 and 13, combined.
- 3). The subject matter of claim 25 is already in claim 24, claimed twiced? Note claim 24, step E) recitation of "by the method of Claim 13 or 22".
- b). Claims 2-3, 5-8, 10-26 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. Claims 24-26, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, have all the limitations of an allowable claim. Pursuant to the procedures set forth in MPEP § 821.04(a), the restriction requirement, as set forth in the Office action mailed on November 29, 2005, is hereby withdrawn and claims 24-26 hereby rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104. In view of the withdrawal of the restriction requirement, applicant(s) are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once the restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See In re Ziegler, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Virginia Manoharan whose telephone number is 571-272-1450.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola, can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ART UNIT 133 / 764

PRIMARY EXAMINE: